Case 1:23-cr-20036-KMM Document 38 Entered on FLSD Docket 04/25/2023 Page 1 of 3 USCA11 Case: 23-12924 Document: 1-4 Date Filed: 09/06/2023 Page: 1 of 3

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA CASE NO. 23-cr-20036-MOORE

Π	NITED	STATES	OF	AMERICA.
\mathbf{v}	\mathbf{u}		\sim 1	

Plaintiff,

VS.

DANIELA RENDON,

Defendant.

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION ON CHANGE OF PLEA

THIS CAUSE is before the Court following an Order of Reference to conduct a proceeding for acceptance of a guilty plea by Defendant Daniela Rendon. Based upon the change of plea hearing conducted on April 25, 2023, this Court makes the following findings, and recommends that the guilty plea be accepted.

The undersigned advised Defendant of her right to have these proceedings conducted by the District Judge assigned to the case, and that this Court was conducting the change of plea hearing pursuant to an Order of Reference from the District Court. I further advised Defendant that the District Judge assigned to this case would be the sentencing judge and would make all findings and rulings concerning Defendant's sentence. I advised Defendant that she did not have to permit the undersigned United States Magistrate Judge to conduct this hearing and could request that the change of plea hearing be conducted by the District Judge assigned to the case. Defendant, Defendant's attorney and the Assistant United States Attorney all consented on the record to the undersigned conducting the change of plea hearing.

The plea colloquy was conducted in accordance with the outline set forth in the Bench Book for District Judges, and in accordance with Fed. R. Crim. P. 11.

Defendant pled guilty to Count 1 of the indictment, which charges her with wire fraud, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1343. I advised Defendant that the maximum sentence the Court could impose as to that count is a term of twenty years imprisonment; followed by a term of supervised release of up to three years; a fine of up to \$250,000 or alternatively twice the gross gain or loss from the offense; and a mandatory special assessment of \$100.00, which Defendant has agreed is due to be paid at the time of sentencing. Defendant was also advised of the possibility of restitution, forfeiture, and the potential for immigration consequences including deportation. Defendant acknowledged that she understood the possible maximum penalties that could be imposed in the case.

To set forth the factual basis for the entry of the plea, the Government proffered the facts it would have established at trial. The Government established all the essential elements of the crime to which Defendant is pleading guilty. Defendant acknowledged that the facts proffered by the Government were accurate, and defense counsel agreed that the proffer satisfied all elements of the crime charged.

The parties entered into a written plea agreement that was thereafter filed with the Court. I reviewed that plea agreement on the record and Defendant acknowledged that she had reviewed the entire agreement with counsel. Defendant acknowledged that she is satisfied with his attorney and that she has had a full opportunity to discuss all facets of her case with her attorney. Defendant indicated that she has reviewed discovery with counsel.

Based upon all of the foregoing and the plea colloquy conducted by this Court, the undersigned finds that Defendant is fully competent and capable of entering an informed plea, that Defendant is aware of the nature of the charges and the consequences of the plea, and that the plea

Case 1:23-cr-20036-KMM Document 38 Entered on FLSD Docket 04/25/2023 Page 3 of 3 USCA11 Case: 23-12924 Date Filed: 09/06/2023 Document: 1-4 Page: 3 of 3

of guilty is a knowing and voluntary plea supported by an independent basis in fact containing

each of the essential elements of the offense.

Therefore, the undersigned recommends that Defendant be found to have freely and

voluntarily entered her guilty plea to Count 1 of the indictment filed in this case, as more

particularly described herein, and that Defendant be adjudicated guilty of the offense as charged.

In addition, the undersigned specifically finds that the Defendant's waiver of her right to appeal

her sentence in this case is knowing and voluntary.

A pre-sentence investigation report is being prepared for the District Court by the United

States Probation Office. The sentencing will be set by separate order.

The parties will have fourteen calendar days from the date of service of this Report and

Recommendation within which to file written objections, if any, for consideration by the United

States District Judge. Pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 59(b), Eleventh Circuit Rule 3-1, and

accompanying Internal Operating Procedure 3, the parties are hereby notified that failure to object

in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) waives the right to challenge on appeal the District

Court's order based on unobjected-to factual and legal conclusions. See Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S.

140 (1985).

Respectfully submitted in Chambers at Miami, Florida, this 25th day of April, 2023.

LAUREN F. LOUIS

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

cc: The Honorable K. Michael Moore Counsel of record